

Journal of Muhammad Medical College Website: jmmc.mmc.edu.pk



Relationship of age and family factors with academic performance outcome of students getting higher education. Alina Atif^{1,*} 1: Assistant Professor. Department of Physiology. Jinnah Medical & Dental College. Kara-Abstract: chi. Introduction: Acquiring higher education has changed its dynamics since the beginning of this century. Covid-19 has added its transformation of remote learning, another dimension of distance learning. At this stage a number of factors seem to be responsible for continued higher education minimizing the chanced of drop outs. At the same time several factors have their impact on performance outcome. In this *=corresponding author study we have tried to find the impact of these factors on performance outcome of alinaatif75@yahoo.com. the students getting higher education. **Objectives**: To assess the relationship of age and family factors on academic performance output of students getting higher education. Methodology: This cross-sectional study was conducted between January 4 – April 2, 2021. During this period 216 students; of either gender; getting higher education in institutes recognized by higher education commission were selected through cluster sampling. Students were approached through personal contact, email or WhatsApp. Results: The study shows that gender, age and income status have a positive association with CGPA while degree enrolled in, source of Income, marital status and no of children shows a negative relationship with CGPA. Results also shows that age, degree enrolled in, marital status and income have significant relationship (p= <0.05) with CGPA; while gender, no of children, and source of income have insignificant association (p = > 0.05) **Conclusion**: Gender, Age and Income Status have a positive association with good CGPA Keywords: Academic performance, Higher Education, HEC recognized institutes

Introduction:

According to Merriam Webster dictionary, education ty to an individual leading to job and life satisfaction or beyond the secondary level especially education pro- for other advanced opportunities.² vided by a college or university is called higher educa- Pakistan is among those countries that have a low outtion. Degrees like bachelors, masters, Phil, PhD or any come of higher education. According to the statistics, undergraduate and above professional programs are all every year Pakistan produces 445,000 university graduincluded in higher education. The prime purpose of ates and 80,000 computer science graduates.³ The getting a higher education is to proceed with a career studies reported that two decades before male perthat inspires the individuals. Many times, the career centage as university graduates was higher as comassociated interest motivates the person to get higher pared to females, however in recent years female uni-

education.¹ Higher education offers economic prosperi-

versity graduates increased by 15%.⁴ Males believe that reason can be brain rhythm maturation without giving a changes. Furthermore, the defective examination sys- the previous experiences. Additionally, level of responsitem hurts both males and females.⁵ Therefore, there is bilities with social pressures on the students influence a gender disparity in the enrollment and outcome of variability in learning eventually influencing the academhigher education in Pakistan.

ty. It also increases awareness and understanding; ena- pursue higher education in evening programs through ble to solve challenges and increases self-respect of the self-funding. Such difficulties are found less in the adult individual leading to a positive change in attitude and female students, may be since most of them do not behavior. In many countries of the world, obtaining share the family burden.¹⁷ higher education is independent of age, while depends Literature Review: on the logic regarding want to obtain a degree or high- Higher Education Commission (HEC) responsible for level education.⁶

relatively dependent on age, particularly when willing to Higher education is considered a capital investment for obtain higher education from government universities. the economic and social development of oneself and The gap in education for whatsoever reasons reduces the country. It serves as a tool for empowerment. It is the admission opportunity despite providing valid/ due to higher education that a parallel women work genuine reason of the gap. While in case of private uni- force is emerging¹⁹ whose performance in view of their versities, at the time of admission, age of the candidate age and familial factors are also turning in their favor. is of least concern.⁷ Career growth in employment is Furthermore, the flow of education enables the individdependent on the level of higher education instead of ual to maintain the knowledge as a remembrance. In skills and experience.⁸

Generally older people outperform in course comparing scarcely prevalent. Advance knowledge is facilitated to younger mates. The reason can be the level of inter- through higher education. It is found that the employed est in that particular subject as well as the cognitive out- workforce is turning more towards upgradation of their comes.⁹ Voyles et al found that there is relationship knowledge and qualification.²⁰ The outcome of their between age of students and their academic success. performance in terms of grade is related to time availa-The score of mathematics was higher for older students ble for the study. Sometime students study only just to irrespective of the gender of the student.¹⁰ In another pass the exam and get the degree. This mostly happens study the authors found that there is a significant rela- due to non-availability of time for study and a lack of tionship between age of the student and their academic intention for knowledge enrichment. This condition performance.¹¹ In a study from China, authors found eventually influences academic performance.²¹ that family factors affect the academic performance of In Pakistan, financial support for higher education is alstudents in terms of grades and scores. Parental support most nil. This increases the stress among students to was found to be an important factor.¹² Depending on complete education on time while managing their familthe socioeconomic status of the individual, a sizeable ial responsibilities. The cognitive stress thus created percentage of adult males are funding their higher edu- affects the academic performance outcome.²² cation to enhance their professional growth.¹³ Published Familial factors are major reasons for performance outliterature showed association of different family factors come of students. The low income, high unemployment and education; family factors not only affect academic rate, financial burden of the family are few to talk performance of students in terms of grades and scores about.²³ For female students such problems are aggrabut also make person valuable for the society.^{14, 15}

It has been found that in school education, younger stu- age gap, responsibilities, less previous knowledge, and dents face more challenges than older students. Howev- other challenges result in variation in academic results. er, this situation is reversed in higher education. The ²⁴ Drop out and resumption after some times are also

friendships and networking have a prime role in life gap in education along with the level of remembering ic results of the students in higher education.¹⁶ This The main benefit of education is its economic prosperi- problem is more common with a male community who

higher education in Pakistan has been decentralized and In Pakistan, this is generally noticed that education is authority delegated to the provincial governments.¹⁸ Pakistan, book reading or upgrading of knowledge is

vated due to their marriage and number of children. The

reported. This indeed play a role in the performance Table No 2: Age, Marital status, Income status and outcome. Under such situation the mindset and the source of income distribution

priorities are changed affecting the grades.²⁵ In our culture in lower and lower middle-class families, brothers share the family burden with their father. This also include the marriage of their sisters which involve quite good amount of money. These kinds of stress further affect the students' academic performance and resultant outcome.^{26,27} In many cases relative age gap of the classmates may not directly affect the performance outcome, other factors may contribute in this regard.²⁸

Objective:

To assess the relationship of age and family factors on academic performance output of students getting higher education.

Methodology:

This cross sectional study was conducted for a period of three months from January 4 – April 2, 2021. Regular students of HEC (Higher Education Commission) recognized higher education centers; these includes public, semi-private and private universities and institutes. Regular students were selected through cluster sampling, while private students were excluded. Selected students were contacted through personal contact, email or WhatsApp.

Results:

Results shows that demographic factors shows high variance in the CGPA of the students.

Demographic profile is shown in table. While o analyze coefficient of different independent variables with respect to Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) regression analysis was performed. The independent variable includes gender, age, degree enrolled in, source Table No 3: Showing no of Children of income, overall income status, marital and children status. Gender and age distribution is shown in table no 1.

Table No 1: Gender distribution

GENDER				
	Frequency	Percent		
MALE	156	72.2		
FEMALE	60	27.8		
Total	216	100		

AGE (YEARS)						
LESS THAN 20	11	5.1%				
20-29	67	31%				
30-39	94	43.5%				
40-49	28	13%				
50 AND ABOVE	16	7.4%				
Total	216	100%				
MARITAL STATUS						
UMARRIED	47	21.8%				
MARRIED	151	69.9%				
DIVORCED / SEPARATED	18	8.3%				
Total	216	100%				
INCOME STATUS						
FATHER SUPPORTS	11	5.1%				
<50,000	106	49.1%				
RS. 50000 - 99000	63	29.2%				
RS. 100000-149000	21	9.7%				
RS.150000 - 199000	9	4.2%				
RS.200000-249000	6	2.8%				
Total	216	100%				
SOURCE OF INCOME						
NO PERSONAL INCOME	11	5.1%				
PART TIME JOB	29	13.4%				
FULL TIME JOB	154	71.3%				
SELF EMPLOYED	22	10.2%				
Total	216	100%				

NO OF CHILDREN				
	Frequency	Percent		
NONE	67	31		
2 OR LESS	118	54.6		
3 TO 4	31	14.4		
Total	216	100		

The degree enrolled in and Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) is shown in table no 4

DEGREE ENROLLED IN					
	Frequency	Percent			
MA/MSC/MCOM	16	7.4			
MBA	132	61.1			
ACCA /ICMA	45	20.8			
СА	7	3.2			
M.PHIL	11	5.1			
PHD	5	2.3			
Total	216	100			
CGPA (OUT OF 4)	CGPA (OUT OF 4)				
	Frequency	Percent			
LESS THAN 2.5	11	5.1			
2.5-2.99	74	34.3			
3-3.49	97	44.9			
3.50 and Above	34	15.7			
Total	216	100			

Table No 4: Showing Degree enrolled in and CGPA

To identify relationship between predictor and independent, Regression analysis was performed and results are shown in table no 5 while coefficient of different variables is shown in table no 6.

Table No 5: REGRESSION ANALYSIS: MODEL SUMMARY						
R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin- Watson		
.899ª	0.808	0.801	0.33633	0.443		
Predictors: (Constant), NO OF CHILDREN , GENDER , MARITAL STATUS , SOURCE OF INCOME , AGE, DE- GREE ENROLLED IN , INCOME STATUS						
b. Dependent Variable: CGPA (OUT OF 4)						

Model Summary: The values of R (.899), R2 (.808) and adjusted R2 (.801) show the strength of the data. It shows that the predictor variables support the dependent variable up to 89.9%, 80.8%, 80.1% respectively. The Durbin Watson value (0.443) indicates that there is a strong positive relationship between the predictor (independent) variables and dependent variable. Sig. (P) value 0.00 shows that model is good fit. Model of good fit means that dependent and independent variables have a relationship.

Table No 6: REGRESSION ANALYSIS: COEFFICIENTS							
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval for B	
Independent variables	В	Std. Error	Beta		0.0.	Lower Bound	Upper
(Constant)	1.158	0.19		6.107	0	0.784	1.532
GENDER	0.175	0.119	0.104	1.471	0.143	-0.06	0.411
AGE	0.681	0.058	0.868	11.648	0	0.565	0.796
DEGREE ENROLLED IN	-0.227	0.072	-0.308	-3.165	0.002	-0.369	-0.086
SOURCE OF INCOME	-0.002	0.064	-0.001	-0.025	0.98	-0.127	0.124
INCOME STATUS	0.146	0.071	0.204	2.068	0.04	0.007	0.286
MARITAL STATUS	-0.186	0.06	-0.132	-3.114	0.002	-0.304	-0.068
NO OF CHILDREN	-0.036	0.053	-0.031	-0.676	0.5	-0.14	0.069
Dependent Variable: CGPA (OUT OF 4)							

Model Equation: CGPA (out of 4) = C + C1 (Gender) +C2 (Age) -0.002 (Degree Enrolled In) + C4 (Source of Income) + C5 (Income Status) + C6 (Marital Status) + C7 (No of Children) + e CGPA (out of 4) = 1.158 + 0.175 (Gender) +0.681 (Age) - 0.227 (Degree Enrolled In) - 0.002 (Source of Income) + 0.146 (Income Status) - 0.186 (Marital Status) -0.036 (No of Children) . The model results show that the constant value 1.158 represent that if we keep all independent variables constant then CGPA will increase by 1.158 GPA time of the respondents. Beta value of Gender, Age and Income Status shows that there is a positive association with CGPA while Degree Enrolled In, Source of Income, Marital status and No of children shows a negative relationship with CGPA. Results also shows that Age, Degree Enrolled In, Marital status and Income have significant relationship with CGPA as sig. values less than 0.05 while Gender, No of children, and source of income have non-significant association as sig. value greater than 0.05. Results shows demographic factors explain high variance in the CGPA of the students.

Discussion:

According to our finding age has a positive influence on academic performance. This finding supports the finding of some other authors as well [11]. However, the level of motivation is not directly related to age. Income have significant relationship with good CGPA. However Gobena [29] is of the opinion that the relationship between family income and academic achievement is not statistically significant. Our findings show that marital status has a negative influence on academ- 7. ic performance. Some authors [30] are of the opinion that marital status does not affect the academic performance of adult male students. However, they added⁸. that a high level of satisfaction in married life does affect academic performance positively. The authors also found that number of children does affect the aca-9. demic achievements of the students negatively [30]. Some other authors [31] reported that marital status has a negative effect on CGPA of adult students gaining higher education. Another study [32] found that marital status has a positive influence on students' academ- 10. Voyles MJ, Student academic success as related to stuic achievements.

Conclusion:

The study shows that Gender, Age and Income Status have a positive association with good CGPA .Degree Enrolled In, Source of Income, Marital status and No of children shows a negative relationship with good CGPA. Results also shows that Age, Degree Enrolled In, Marital status and Income have significant relationship with good CGPA as sig. (P) value is less than 0.05 while Gender, No of children, and source of income have non significant association with good CGPA as the sig. (P) value is greater than 0.05.

References:

- Fisher R, Perényi Á, Birdthistle N. The positive relation-1. ship between flipped and blended learning and student engagement, performance and satisfaction. Active Learning in Higher Education. 2018:1469787418801702.
- Rehman R, Zafar A, Mohib A, Hussain M, Ali R. Self-2. reported academic performance in relation to health behaviours among Bahria University students. JPMA. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2018;68(2):195.
- 3. Farrukh M, Lee JW, Shahzad IA. Intrapreneurial behavior in higher education institutes of Pakistan. Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education. 2019 Apr 8.
- 4. Junejo I, Memon AK, Mohammad J. Current Practices in Higher Education Institutes Pakistan and Gap Reduction

between Industry and Academia: A Systematic Literature Review Approach. Asian Journal of Contemporary Education. 2018;2(2):173-81.

- Saima Murtaza Pandhiani, Dr Sumera Umrani. A Post 5. Structural Inquiry into Female ESL Learners' Gender Identities at a Public University in Pakistan. Journal of grassroot. 2019; 53 (1),
- 6. Ali MS, Jalal H. Higher Education as a Predictor of Employment: The World of Work Perspective. Bulletin of Education and Research. 2018 Aug;40(2):79-90.
- Sabeen Z, Arshad F. Social undermining in academia: experiences and effects. Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education. 2019 Oct 14.
- Hodge B, Wright B, Bennett P. The role of grit in determining engagement and academic outcomes for university students. Research in Higher Education. 2018 Jun;59 (4):448-60.
- lorga M, Dondas C, Zugun-Eloae C. Depressed as freshmen, stressed as seniors: The relationship between depression, perceived stress and academic results among medical students. Behavioral Sciences. 2018 Aug;8 (8):70.
- dent age and gender, 2011, A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Doctor of Education Degree in Learning and Leadership.
- 11. Momanyi JM, Too J and Simiyu C, Effect of Students' Age on Academic Motivation and Academic Performance among High School Students in Kenya, Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning; 2015: 03 (05).
- Mehmood S, Chong L, Hussain M. Females higher educa-12. tion in Pakistan: an analysis of Socio-Economic and cultural challenges. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal. 2018 Jun 27;5(6).
- 13. Li, Z., Qiu, Z. How does family background affect children's educational achievement? Evidence from Contemporary China. J. Chin. Sociol. 5, 13 (2018). https:// doi.org/10.1186/s40711-018-0083-8
- Shah SA, Balasingam U, Dhanapal S. Legal Education in 14. Pakistan: An Overview. IIUM Law Journal. 2018;26 (2):401.
- 15. Khan H, Jumani NB, Gul N. Implementation of 21st Century Skills in Higher Education of Pakistan. Global Regional Review. 2019;4(3):223-33.
- 16. Khushbakht Suhail. Re-Defining and Re-Designing Public Education in Pakistan: The Case of Critical Thinking. Chapter 16. pp 291-315. "Educational Reform and International Baccalaureate in the Asia-Pacific" IGI Global. Release Date: February, 2021 | Copyright: © 2021 |Pages: 414. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-5107-3 ISBN13:

9781799851073 | ISBN10: 9781799851080 | ISBN13 Softcover: 9781799855477

- 17. Batada IA, Duang-Ek-Anong S, Achwarin NA. Development of Extended Enterprise Resource Planning Module for Higher Education of Pakistan: A Case Study of Higher Science & Technology. 2020 Jan 1;21(1).
- 18. Shehzadi S, Mohamad B, Lynn-Sze JC. Brand Image of Higher Education in Pakistan. InSMMTC Postgraduate Symposium 2018 2018 Mar 18 (p. 192).
- 19. Haider K, Kerio GA, Kazimi AB. Higher Education in Pakication Policies in the Modern Era of Technology. Global Educational Studies Review. 2020;3:103-13
- 20. Saqib ZA, Zhang Q, Ou J, Saqib KA, Majeed S, Razzaq A. Education for sustainable development in Pakistani higher education institutions: an exploratory study of students' and teachers' perceptions. International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education. 2020 Aug 31.
- 21. Ahmed Z, Asim M, Pellitteri J. Emotional intelligence 32. predicts academic achievement in Pakistani management students. The International Journal of Management Education. 2019 Jul 1;17(2):286-93.
- 22. Tabassum R, Akhter N. Effect of Demographic Factors on Academic Performance of University Students. Journal of Research & Reflections in Education (JRRE). 2020 Jul 1;14(1).
- 23. Sadiku G. Factors that influence the level of the academic performance of the students. Journal of Social Studies Education Research. 2019 Sep 23;10(3):17-38.
- 24. Alturki S, Hulpuş I, Stuckenschmidt H. Predicting academic outcomes: A survey from 2007 till 2018. Technology, Knowledge and Learning. 2020 Sep 28:1-33.
- 25. Ejaz B, Muazzam A, Anjum A, Pollock G, Nawaz R. Measuring the scale and scope of social anxiety among students in Pakistani higher education institutions: An alternative social anxiety scale. Sustainability. 2020 Jan;12 (6):2164.
- 26. Torlak NG, Kuzey C. Leadership, job satisfaction and performance links in private education institutes of Pakistan. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management. 2019 Feb 11.
- 27. Soomro MA, Siming IA, Shah SH, Rajper MA, Naz S, Channa MA. An Investigation of Anxiety Factors during English Oral Presentation Skills of Engineering Undergraduates in Pakistan. International Journal of English Linguistics. 2019;9(3):1
- 28. Shoaib M, Hazir U. Female and Male Students' Educational Performance in Tertiary Education in the Punjab Pakistan. Pakistan journal of Social Issues. 2019;10:83-100.

- 1799851079 [EISBN13: 29. Gobena Gemechu Abera, Family Socio-economic Status Effect on Students' Academic Achievement at College of Education and Behavioral Sciences, Haramaya University, Eastern Ethiopia. Journal of Teacher Education and Educators Volume 7, Number 3, 2018, 207-222.
- Education. International Journal of Simulation--Systems, 30. Darwish Ehab , Alkhars Abdulwahab Ahmed, Alkhar Fatemah Murtadha, Effects of marriage on the academic performance of undergraduate male students at the King Faisal University. International Journal of Medicine in Developing Countries, 2021;5(2):001-005. DOI 10.24911/IJMDC.51-1606463859
- stan and Malaysia: A Comparative Analysis of their Edu- 31. Beard, Selena & Langlais, Michael. (2018). Saying "I Do" in College: Examining Marital Status and Academic Performance. International Journal of Psychological Studies. 10.5539/ijps.v10n4p34. https:// 10. 34. www.researchgate.net/ publica-

tion/328614223_Saying_I_Do_in_College_Examining_M arital_Status_and_Academic_Performance

Yess James P. The influence of marriage on community college student achievements in specific program of study. Research in Higher Education. Vol 14 (2), 1981. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40195351