

Journal of Muhammad Medical College



Website: jmmc.mmc.edu.pk

| 1: Assistant professor; Depart- ment of Obstetrics / Gynecolo- gy. Peoples University of Medi- cal & Health Sciences (PUMHS) Shaheed Benazirabad. | Outcome of trial of labor and causes of its failure in pa- tients with previous one lower segment caesarean section Rozina Mujeeb Sahito ^{1,*} , Raishem Ali ² , Shabana Ramzan ³ , Rashida Akber ⁴ Mujeeb ur Rehman sahito ⁵ , Shehzad Memon ⁶ . |
|---|---|
| 2: Assistant professor; Depart- ment of Obstetrics / Gynecolo- gy. PUMHS. Shaheed Benazira- bad. | Abstract: Introduction: As per guideline of American College of Obstetricians and Gynecol- ogists, repeat cesarean section should be avoided unless there is absolute contrain- dication. However, in patients having previous c-section, the trial of oxytocin/ |
| 3: Senior Registrar; Department of Obstetrics/Gynecology PUMHS. Shaheed Benazirabad. | prostaglandin for induction of labor has controversial report. Objectives: To determine the outcome of the trial of labor and causes of its failure in patients with a previous one lower segment cesarean section. Methodology: This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology at Peoples Medical College Hospital, Nawabshah for the |
| 4: Assistant professor Department of Obstetrics / Gy- necology. PUMHS. Shaheed Benazirabad. | period of six months from January 2021 to June 2021. During this period 100 pa- tients, aged 20-45 years, with term gestation and history of previous lower segment cesarean section, having vertex presentation singleton pregnancy were included. Results : The mean age of 100 enrolled patients was 34.69 ±1.44 years. Trial of labor found successful in 64 women; in 45 (70.3%) there was spontaneous vaginal deliv- |
| 5: Assistant professor; Depart- ment of Anatomy. PUMHS. Sha- heed Benazirabad. | ery, 14(21.8%) had forceps delivery and 5 (7.8%) had vacuum delivery. Among 36 patients where trial of labor remained unsuccessful, cesarean section was performed. The trial of labor was failed secondary to failed progress of labor (n= 17, 47.2%), fetal distress (n=11, 30.5%), scar dehiscence (n=3, 8.3%) and antepartum hemorrhage (n=5,13.8%). There was no mortality of fetus or mother in our study. |
| *=corresponding author drrozinamemon@gmail.com. | Conclusion : Trial of labor should always be attempted in women with previous ce- sarean sections with continuous monitoring, provided there is no absolute contrain- dication, as it may be successful in most cases. Keywords: Cesarean Section, Trial of Labor, Failure, lower segment |

Introduction:

procedures performed worldwide^{1,2} In U.S, it accounts inal deliveries should be preferred over the C-sections; for around 32.8% of cases while in Asians this rate is to avoid operative and anesthesia complications, to 25% or above.³ The highest reported (46%) rate is from have less postpartum morbidity, less hospital stay, China. In Pakistan, the rate of C-section has increased preservation of financial funds, and above all early neofrom 29.70% during 2003 to 36.96% in year 2020.⁴ Re- natal-maternal contact and bonding. Women with preduction in the primary C-section is the single most im- vious singleton pregnancy should be given a trial of portant step in reducing the higher incidence of repeat labor if they are healthy and have a good Bishop score. C-sections which are causing an enormous consump- Studies have shown successful vaginal deliveries as

tion of health resources and are contributing to higher Caesarean sections (C-sections) are the most common maternal morbidity and fetal complication rates.⁵⁻⁷ Vaghigh as 74.3% after the previous C-section.^{8,9} Updated tions, presumed fetal compromise, and if there is cliniguideline from American College of Obstetricians and cal suspicion of impending uterine rupture or uterine Gynecologists also support approach to "avoid repeat C- scar dehiscence/rupture then managed by laparotomy section" unless there is any contraindication. ^{10,11} Recent and repair. Using SPSS.20, statistical analysis perobstetric opinion favors the utilization of oxytocin for formed. Mean ±SD was calculated for the age of the initiation and augmentation of labor however the role patient, and duration of labor pains. The qualitative variof prostaglandins is controversial in women having had ables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. lower segment C-section.^{12,13}

The common causes of unsuccessful trial of labor in- The mean age of patients with previous C-section who cludes failure in progress (44.4%), fetal distress (25.9%), underwent trial of labor was 34.69 ±1.44 years. Most of induction failure (23.4%), scar tenderness (4.9%), and the women (56%) were aged between 20-35 years and antepartum hemorrhage (1.2%) 8. Kumar et al also 44% were between 36-45 years of age. The mean durashowed almost identical results.¹⁴ The percentage of tion of labor pains after trial of labor was 7.39 ±1.18 uterine rupture in women after vaginal birth with previ- hours as shown in table no 1. After a trial of labor, 64 ous C-cesarean reported to be 0.3-0.5%.¹⁰⁻¹⁴

The rationale of our study is to determine the frequency remaining 36 had an unsuccessful outcome resulting in of outcomes of labor after lower segment C-sections in cesarean section (fig no 1). Out of 64 women delivered our set-up so that preventive measures should be taken after successful trial, there 45 (70.3%) spontaneous vagto reduce this higher burden of morbidity and mortality inal delivery after trial of labor, 14(21.8%) had underassociated with C-sections.

Objective:

es of its failure in patients with a previous one lower includes of failed progress of labor (n= 17, 47.2%), fetal segment cesarean section.

Methodology:

This prospective study was conducted at Department of no 3. There was no mortality of fetus or mother in our Obstetrics & Gynecology at Peoples Medical College study. However, the duration of hospital stay was in-Hospital, Nawabshah for 6 months (January 2021 to creased in mothers after cesarean sections in patients June 2021) on 100 patients. Using non-probability pur- with antepartum hemorrhage but they were discharged posive sampling, pregnant ladies at term with vertex home. presentation patient aged 20-45years with history of Table 1: Distribution of study participants according to age previous lower segment C-section with singleton preg- and duration of labor pains nancy confirmed on ultrasound were included. However patients with previous classical section and uterine rupture, malpresentation, high-risk pregnancy due to medical problems like diabetes, pregnancy-induced hypertension, and obstetrics complication like placenta previa, multiple gestations, intrauterine growth retardation were excluded.

The cases fulfilling the inclusion criteria admitted through the emergency department with labor pains were included in the study. Post graduate trainee on duty obtained written informed consent; and proforma filled with detailed history and findings of clinical examination and baseline investigations were noted. The trial of labor was given to each patient and progress noticed in terms of cervical dilatation with good uterine contrac-

Results:

women delivered successfully vaginal delivery while the gone forceps delivery and 5 (7.8%) had undergone vacuum delivery (table 2). While the remaining 36 had cesar-To determine the outcome of the trial of labor and caus- ean section due to failure of trial. The causes identified distress (n=11,30.5%), scar dehiscence (n=3, 8.3%) and ante partum hemorrhage (n=5, 3.8%) as shown in table

| Demographic Variables | Frequency | percentage |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Age in years | 34.69± | |
| (Mean± SD) | 1.44 | |
| Age range | | |
| 20-35 years | 56 | 56% |
| 36-45 years | 44 | 44% |
| Duration of Labor Pains | 7.39 ± 1.18 | |
| in hours(Mean± SD) | | |

| Table 2: Mode of deliver | y after successful trial |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------------|

| | Frequency n=64 | Percentage |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Spontaneous vaginal delivery | 45 | 70.3% |
| Forceps delivery | 14 | 21.8% |
| Vacuum delivery | 5 | 7.8% |

| Table 3: | Causes | of | unsuccessful | trial | of labor |
|----------|--------|----|--------------|-------|----------|
| | | | | | |

| Failure of Trial | Frequency n= 36 | % |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Failed progress | 17 | 47.2% |
| Fetal distress | 11 | 30.5% |
| Scar dehiscence | 3 | 8.3% |
| Antepartum hemorrhage | 5 | 13.8% |

Discussion:

In US 33% of women had C-sections varying between 23-40% and out of this 90% have repeat procedures in their subsequent pregnancies.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ While in Pakistan the rate of repeat cesarean deliveries estimated in 2013 is 40.6%,¹⁷ while it was 9.96% in 2010¹⁸ and this shows a tremendous increased rate. Reduction in rise for cesarean sections is a major concern nowadays. 3. Governments and Health organizations of various countries are developing strategies to reduce the overuse of cesarean section in accord of vaginal delivery. The World Health Organization has withdrawn its recommendation of 15% C-section and suggests only in cases that merit in view of indication.¹⁹ The women included in our study had a mean age of around 34 years while most of them belong to the age group ranged from 20-35 years. This is also consistent with a reported range in different studies. ^{20, 21}

In our study 64% of the women with previous cesarean delivery when given a trial of labor had a successful 6. vaginal delivery. Of this 64%, most of them were delivered spontaneously per vaginally while the few required assisted vaginal delivery. This is consistent with previous studies.²² Thapsamuthdechakorn A et al reported 60% success rate²³, Manzoor et al reported that trial of labor found successful in 74.3% women having one previous lower uterine segment.²⁴ In our study repeat cesarean section rate was 36% while Manzoor et al reported repeat C-section rate of 25.7%. The re- 8. peat C-section, during current study, was performed once trial of labor failed; the causes include failure to progress of labor, fetal distress, scar dehiscence, and antepartum hemorrhage. A meta analysis²⁵ had shown that conversion to cesarean may also be due to imminent uterine rupture and different fetal risk; these were not identified during current study. which is not found in our study.

Conclusion:

servation should be encouraged in women with previous cesarean sections provided no contraindication is present.

Financial disclosure statement:

This research did not receive any specific grant from **Conflict of interest**: The authors declare none.

References

- Mittal M, Kandoria M, Sood R, Chauhan M, Rao R, . S. Outcome of pregnancy in women with previous one cesarean section. Int J Reprod Contraception, Obstet Gynecol. 2018 Jul 26;7(8):3257.
- Place K, Kruit H, Tekay A, Heinonen S, Rahkonen L. Success of trial of labor in women with a history of previous cesarean section for failed labor induction or labor dystocia: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019 Dec;19(1):176.
- Betrán AP, Ye J, Moller A-B, Zhang J, Gülmezoglu AM, Torloni MR. The Increasing Trend in Caesarean Section Rates: Global, Regional and National Estimates: 1990-2014. Zeeb H, editor. PLoS One. 2016 Feb 5;11 (2):e0148343.
- H. Mumtaz S, Bahk J, Khang Y-H. Rising trends and inequalities in cesarean section rates in Pakistan: Evidence from Pakistan Demographic and Health Surveys, 1990-2013. Dangal G, editor. PLoS One. 2017 Oct;12(10):e0186563.
- 5. Hyginus E, Eric NI, Lawrence I, Sylvester N. Morbidity and mortality following high order caesarean section in a developing country. J Pak Med Assoc. 2012 Oct;62 (10):1016-9. PMID: 23866437.
- Tahseen S, Griffiths M. Vaginal birth after two caesarean sections (VBAC-2)-a systematic review with metaanalysis of success rate and adverse outcomes of VBAC-2 versus VBAC-1 and repeat (third) caesarean sections. BJOG. 2010 Jan;117(1):5-19. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2009.02351.x.
- Rajita S. Jani, Devangi S. Munshi, R. Doctor-Management of pregnancy with previous lower segment caesarean section in Modern obstetric practice. NHL Journal of Med Sci 2013;2(2):59-63.
- Chua S, Arulkumaran S, Singh P, Ratnam SS. Trial of labour after previous caesarean section: obstetric outcome. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 1989 Feb;29(1):12-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-828x.1989.tb02868.x. PMID: 2562593.
- Dodd JM, Crowther CA, Huertas E, Guise JM, Horey D. Planned elective repeat caesarean section versus planned vaginal birth for women with a previous caesarean birth. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 Dec 10;12:CD004224. doi:

10.1002/14651858.CD004224.pub3.

We conclude that trial of labor; under strict direct ob- 10. Islam A, Ehsan A, Arif S, Murtaza J and Hanif A. Evalu-

ating trial of scar in patients with a history of caesarean section. N Am J Med Sci.2011;3(4):201-5.

- 11. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery. ACOG Practice bulletin no. 115. Obstet Gynecol. 2010 Aug;116 (2 Pt 1):450-63.
- 12. Al-Zirqi I, Stray-Pedersen B, Forsén L, Vangen S. Uterine rupture after previous caesarean section. BJOG 2010;117(7):809-20.
- 13. Dekker GA, Chan A, Luke CG, Priest K, Riley M, Halliday attempting vaginal birth after one prior caesarean section: a retrospective population-based cohort study. BJOG 2010; 117(11):1358-65.
- 14. Pramod Kumar, P. Shivkumar, A. Jaiswal, Naina Kumar, K. Saharan. Subjective assessment of caesarean section scar site for vaginal birth after caesarean trial and outcome in Mgims, Sevagram, Wardha, India. Int J Biol Med Res 2012;3(2):1825-9.
- 15. Martin, JA, Hamilton, BE, Ventura SJ, et al. Births: Final data for 2009. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2009. http:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr60/nvsr60 01.pdf (Accessed on November 29, 2011).
- 16. "Safe Prevention of the Primary Cesarean Delivery". American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. 2014 (1) https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinicalguidance/obstetric-care-consensus/articles/2014/03/ safe-prevention-of-the-primary-cesarean-delivery
- 17. Sana Zahiruddin, Sumera Rauf Qureshi, Umer Farooq. Vaginal birth after caesarean section; factors predicting success. Professional Med J Nov 2013;20(5):759-64. https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2013.20.05.1514
- 18. Pooja Patil, Malini Bhardwaj, Priyanka Sharma, Garima Chandrakar. Changing trends in indication of cesarean section in a tertiary care centre of Central India . Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2017 Jul;6(7):2829-2835
- 19. "Should there be a limit on Caesareans?". BBC News. 30 June 2010. https://www.bbc.com/news/10448034
- 20. Shakira Perveen, Subhana Tayyab. Cesarean delivery rates and indications at a teaching hospital. J Dow Uni Health Sci Oct - Dec 2008;2(3):118-20.
- 21. Gupta P, Jahan I, Jograjiya GR.Is vaginal delivery safe after previous lower segment caesarean section in developing country? . Niger Med J. 2014 May;55(3):260-5. doi: 10.4103/0300-1652.132067.
- 22. Huma Quddusi, Saira Anwar. Trial of labor after cesarean delivery: A study of 100 cases. Pak J Med Res Jan -Mar 2005;44(1):54-6. https:// www.pakmedinet.com/7305
- 23. Thapsamuthdechakorn A, Sekararithi R, Tongsong T.

Factors Associated with Successful Trial of Labor after Cesarean Section: A Retrospective Cohort Study. J Preg-3;2018:6140982. nancy. 2018 Jun doi 10.1155/2018/6140982. PMID: 29967697; PMCID: PMC6008842.

- 24. Manzoor T, Ambreen A, Anwar K, Ayub R, Mushtaq R. Normal vaginal delivery after one lower segment caesarean section can be safe option for many women but not right choice for all. Ann King Edward Med Uni Jan -Mar 2011;17(1):55-60.
- J, et al. Risk of uterine rupture in Australian women 25. Bauer ME, Kountanis JA, Tsen LC, Greenfield ML, Mhyre JM. Risk factors for failed conversion of labour epidural analgesia to caesarean delivery anesthesia: A systematic review and meta analysis of observational trials. Int J Obstet Anesth 2012;21:249-309.