Abstract:
Objectives: To determine whether invagination of stump after appendectomy is necessary
Study design: Comparative study
Place and duration of study: Surgical unit 3, Liaquat University Hospital Hyderabad, from 1-7-2007 to 30-6-2009.
Methodology: 112 patients who underwent appendectomy were included on the basis of no probability convenient sampling. Irrespective of age and sex. These patients were diagnosed by clinical examination and lab investigations. Patients were divided in 2 groups alliteratively. Group 'A' consisting of 56 patients with invagination of appendicular stump and group B consisting 56 patients without invagination of stump. Both groups were looked after for post-surgical complications.
Results: Age of patients ranged from 12-66 years (men age 28.4 years), 71 patients were males (37 in group 'A', 34 in group B) 41 were females (19 in group 'A' and 22 in group 'B'): Paralytic ileus was observed in 4 patients (7.2%) in group 'A' and 2 patients (3.6%) in group 'B'. Wound infection was observed in 6 patients (10.76) in group 'A' while in group B it was observed in 2 patients (3.6%). Remaining post operative complications and hospital stay was identical in both groups.
Conclusion: There is no benefit of invagination of appendicular stump over non invagination, rather invagination of stump-increases operating time and prolonged anesthesia unnecessarily.
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Introduction:
Acute appendicitis is one of the most common conditions among the patients presenting in the surgical emergency unit. In our hospital, Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad, there is a totally separate emergency unit and acute appendicitis is found to be the most frequent condition with which the patients are admitted in the emergency unit. The presentation of acute appendicitis varies and diagnosis is made on clinical presentation, laboratory investigations and ultrasound of abdomen to exclude any other pathology such as ureteric calculi or tub-Ovarian lesions in females. The treatment of acute appendicitis is surgical, i.e., appendectomy. Over the years there has been a tradition to Invaginate the appendicular stump after appendectomy by applying purse string suture or sometimes Z-suture. There has been a superstition that invagination reduces post-operative complications such as wound infection, ileus and adhesions.

The aim of this study Is to observe whether there is any reality in this belief. We have compared the results of those patients in which stumps were invaginated to those in which it was not invaginated.

Methodology:
This is a comparative case series study, conducted in the surgical unit-lll of LUH Hyderabad, from 1July 2007 to 30June 2009. During this period, 192 patients of acute appendicitis were admitted, out of these 112 patients, 122 were included in the study on the basis of non-probability convenient sampling, irrespective of age and sex of the patient. All the patients were diagnosed by clinical presentation and lab investigations. Ultrasound was done to exclude any other pathology. Exclusion criteria were:

- Patients under the age of 10 (these patients are routinely operated in pediatrics surgical unit).
- Patients with clinically generalized peritonitis
- Perforated appendix
- Gangrenous appendix
- Localized appendicular abscess
- Appendicular lump
- Unwilling patient (conservative treatment given)

After making a confirmed diagnosis and decision to operate upon, these patients were informed and consent was taken. All the 112 patients were divided alternative-ly into two groups 'A' and B, Group A consisting of 56 patients with simple ligation followed by invagination of appendicular stump and group 'B' was of the other 56 patients with appendectomy followed by simple ligation without invagination of appendicular stump.

Among these patients, 71 were male (37 in group A, 34 in B) and 41 were females (19 in group A and 22 in B) (see Fig. 1) Age of the patients varied from 12-66 years, with a mean age 28.4 years. The number of patients of different age groups is shown in table 1. All the patients included in the study were operated in general anesthesia through Gridiron's incision. They were given perioperative injection cefuroxirne (Zinacef) 1.5g at the time of induction of anes-
112 patients were included in the study. Persons of any age may suffer from acute appendicitis but the commonest age group, in the study of Simpson J and Speak W was second and third decade of life. In our study the commonest age group was 3rd decade of life followed by 2nd decade of life which is identical to the study. The mean age in a study by Qasim Minhas et al was 26.5 years, while in our study it was 28.4 years. Appendicitis occurs more frequently in males than females with a male to female ratio of (1.7:1) In the study: male to female ratio is 71/41 (1:73:1). Appendectomy has remained the treatment of choice for acute appendicitis. It has been a tradition to invaginate the appendicular stump after appendectomy with a belief that it will reduce post-operative complications. But whether it is really evidence based or superstition. n our study we have compared the results of the patients in which invagination was done with those in which it was not done. In our study wound infection was 10.7% in group A as compared to 3.6% in Group B which is significantly higher in group A. In a study conducted by Chaudhry 1LA et al. It was 6.4% in patients with stump invaginated. This evidence is also supported by Jacobs PP et al. While there is also evidence that there is no difference in ratio of wound infection in two groups. Our study shows that rate of post-operative paralytic ileus (based on non-appearance of bowel sounds within 24hrs) was 7.1% in group A which was double as compared to group B. It is supported by Lopez VRG. Regarding other post operative findings there was no significant difference in two groups. Walters, DA et al and Stret David et al in their study have found no difference between the post-operative results of the two groups. It has been a routine practice even among Some senior surgeon at Hyderabad to Invaginate the appendicular stump after appendectomy. Though none of the studies conducted all over the world show any advantage of invagination as no such Comparative study was done in our setup, we carried out this study. Or study shows that there is no superiority of invagination. On the contrary it has been observed that invagination increases the operative time, prolongs anesthesia, unnecessary per-operative exposure and even slightly higher rate of post-operative wound infection and paralytic ileus. These findings are also supported by international literature.

Discussion:
Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergencies. In our hospital i.e. Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad, there is a separate emergency unit. In this unit i.e. surgical emergency unit II, acute appendicitis was the commonest condition among all the admissions. A total of 192 patients with acute appendicitis were admitted in surgical emergency unit II during a period of two years from 1st July 2007 to 30 June 2009. All these patients were diagnosed to have acute appendicitis by clinical presentation and laboratory investigations. These patients were admitted in surgical emergency unit II through casualty, Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad. Out of these 192 patients, 34 patients were unwilling for operation. Of the remaining 158 patients, 46 patients did not fulfill our criteria for study. Thus, a total of 112 patients were included in the study. Persons of any age may suffer from acute appendicitis but the commonest age group, in the study of Simpson J and Speak W was second and third decade of life. In our study the commonest age group was 3rd decade of life followed by 2nd decade of life which is identical to the study. The mean age in a study by Qasim Minhas et al was 26.5 years, while in our study it was 28.4 years. Appendicitis occurs more frequently in males than females with a male to female ratio of (1.7:1) In the study: male to female ratio is 71/41 (1:73:1). Appendectomy has remained the treatment of choice for acute appendicitis. It has been a tradition to invaginate the appendicular stump after appendectomy with a belief that it will reduce post-operative complications. But whether it is really evidence based or superstition. In our study we have compared the results of the patients in which invagination was done with those in which it was not done. In our study wound infection was 10.7% in group A as compared to 3.6% in Group B which is significantly higher in group A. In a study conducted by Chaudhry 1LA et al. It was 6.4% in patients with stump invaginated. This evidence is also supported by Jacobs PP et al. While there is also evidence that there is no difference in ratio of wound infection in two groups. Our study shows that rate of post-operative paralytic ileus (based on non-appearance of bowel sounds within 24hrs) was 7.1% in group A which was double as compared to group B. It is supported by Lopez VRG. Regarding other post operative findings there was no significant difference in two groups. Walters, DA et al and Stret David et al in their study have found no difference between the post-operative results of the two groups.

It has been a routine practice even among Some senior surgeon at Hyderabad to Invaginate the appendicular stump after appendectomy. Though none of the studies conducted all over the world show any advantage of invagination as no such Comparative study was done in our setup, we carried out this study. Or study shows that there is no superiority of invagination. On the contrary it has been observed that invagination increases the operative time, prolongs anesthesia, unnecessary per-operative exposure and even slightly higher rate of post-operative wound infection and paralytic ileus. These findings are also supported by international literature.

Conclusion:
The evidence derived from our study suggests that there is no benefit of invagination of the appendicular stump over non-invagination, through it is routinely practiced in our setup. Even there is slightly higher rate of post-operative infection and paralytic ileus in group which had undergone invagination. The rest of the results are identical in both groups. Invagination of stump increases the operating time un-necessarily, it also prolongs anesthesia and per operative exposure.
Table-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group years</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2. Post-Operative complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Group A (56 Patients)</th>
<th>Group B (56 Patients)</th>
<th>Total (112 Patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/Vomiting</td>
<td>11 19.6%</td>
<td>22 23.4%</td>
<td>23 20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>2 3.6%</td>
<td>1 1.8%</td>
<td>3 2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralytic ileus</td>
<td>4 7.2%</td>
<td>2 3.6%</td>
<td>6 5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound Infection</td>
<td>6 10.7%</td>
<td>2 3.6%</td>
<td>8 7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal fistula</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-acute obstruction</td>
<td>1 1.8%</td>
<td>1 1.8%</td>
<td>2 3.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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